UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,314	12/29/2003	Ming Fang Tsai		7999
25859 WEI TE CHUN	7590 04/16/200 IG		EXAMINER	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			ADE, OGER GARCIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/748,314	TSAI, MING FANG		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GARCIA ADE	3687		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turner et al. [US 6,470,228 B1], in view of Chen et al. [US 2003/0126043 A1], and further in view of Sellers et al. [US 5,311,438].

As per claims 1-2, 4-9, Turner discloses a system for managing (10) material distribution and returned materials, the system comprising: a database for storing relevant data used or generated in implementing the system (device 20); and an application server (22) for managing material distribution and returned

materials by executing an inventory management program [see paragraph 50 of the detailed description of the invention, read as: processor 12 may also update inventory information 30 to reflect return of excess material areas 62 and 64 to inventory]; transmitting material extraction information to an application server [via output 34 that may also contain other information]; generating inventory variation information [via application 22 that generates an order status update]; updating corresponding data records stored in a database [via processor 12 that may update order information 24 using cost distribution 36].

Turner discloses all elements per claimed invention as explained above. Turner does not explicitly discloses the inventory management program comprising a material distribution management module for managing outgoing stocks that are to be distributed to different places, and a returned material management module for managing incoming stocks that are received from different places. However, Chen discloses the inventory management program comprising a material distribution management module for managing outgoing stocks that are to be distributed to different places, and a returned material management module for managing incoming stocks that are received from different places [see abstract, paragraph 4 (e.g. manage excess/surplus stock, etc.), and paragraph 48 (e.g. material modules C, D, and E)].

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Turner to include Chen's material distribution management module for managing outgoing stocks. Such a modification would provide planning for production schedules after purchasing

material items, how to arrange delivery of finished goods, how to manage excess/surplus, outgoing stocks, and etc. [see paragraph 4].

As per claim 3, Turner discloses a user interface for receiving orders input by users, and for displaying results of implementation of said orders [see third paragraph of the detailed description of the invention]. Turner, further discloses a processor 12 that may comprises a computer, workstation, such as a UNIX workstation, mini-computer, mainframe or other computing device associated with a volatile or non-volatile memory 18, input device 14, and material management system 10 through output device 16, which may include a display, printer, or any other type of output device [see third paragraph of the detailed description of the invention]. Additionally, Turner discloses that computer systems are generally used in material management systems for tracking the material inventory throughout the manufacturing operations [see background of the invention].

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The PTO 1449 form has been reviewed and considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARCIA ADE whose telephone number is (571)272-5586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571.272.3955. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3687 Garcia Ade Examiner Art Unit 3687

ga